

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/618,001	07/11/2003	Arthur C. Fink JR.	7327	4385
7.	590 04/29/2005	,	EXAMINER	
Paul M. Denk 763 S. New Ballas Road			DOUGLAS, STEVEN O	
St. Louis, MO 63141			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3751	

DATE MAILED: 04/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 09022003.

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_

6) U Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Viland'327.

The Viland reference discloses a vapor recovery dispensing system comprising a vapor return line 35 associated with dispensers 15,16, a vent pipe 39, a pressure relief valve 38 (i.e. some kind of sensor structure would be inherent to the valves operation) and a refrigeration unit 50.

In regard to claim 9, the method as claimed would be inherent during normal use and operation of the device.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Viland in view of Hartsell, Jr. et al.

Art Unit: 3751

The Viland reference discloses a vapor recovery dispensing system (supra) including a vapor control valve 34, but does not disclose a control unit (i.e. no mention of any control at all) or associated flow meter. The Hartsell, Jr. et al. reference discloses another vapor recovery dispensing system with microprocessor control 30 with vapor flow meter 26 and vapor control valve 25 associated therewith to accurately meter recovered vapor flowing back to the tank 2 and ultimately control the operation of the entire dispensing system. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Viland device to have a control with an associated flow meter in view of the teachings of the Hartsell, Jr. et al. reference to accurately meter recovered vapor flowing back to the tank 2 and ultimately control the operation of the entire dispensing system.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The Nitecki et al. and Pettazzoni et al. references pertain to other vapor recovery refueling systems with associated vapor condensing systems.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven O. Douglas whose telephone number is (571) 272-4885. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 6:00-6:30.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/618,001

Art Unit: 3751

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-917 (tell-free).

Steven O. Douglas Primary Examiner Art Unit 3751

SD 4-27-05